

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,683	12/05/2003	Mayme E. Onstad	8464	
	7590 04/24/2006		EXAM	INER
MAYME E.	ONSTAD	LAYNO, BENJAMIN		
P.O. BO 97 FORRESTON	, TX 76041		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3711	
			DATE MAILED: 04/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/727,683	ONSTAD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Benjamin H. Layno	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Fe	ebruary 2006.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,7 and 8</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 7 and 8</u> is/are rejected.	· <u> </u>					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	. •					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atem rippiioddon (i 10-102)				

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's arguments filed 02/06/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The rejection follows.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilbert in view of Evans and Levinard.

The patent to Gilbert discloses a word forming game comprising a plurality of playing pieces or word tiles, Figs. 1, 3, and 4. The upper surface of each word tile has word indicia (an alphabetical letter). The word tiles when connected together, form a written word, Figs. 3 and 4. Gilbert further comprises a plurality of caller cards, Fig. 2, see col. 1, lines 13-14.

The patent to Evans discloses a word forming game comprising a plurality of playing pieces or tiles having word indicia and Braille 15, that are broadly indentations. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to incorporate indentations to Gilbert's playing pieces in order to provide better visualization of the word indicia to blind players.

Page 3

The patent to Levinard teaches that it is known in the word forming game art to provide a playing board, Fig. 1, for placing word tiles. In view of such teaching, it would have been obvious to provide a playing board to Gilbert's game in order to provide a convenient flat surface to play Gilbert's game.

- 3. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilbert.
- 4. To play Gilbert's game, the word tiles are placed face down on a playing surface, and each player randomly selects nine word tiles, col. 3, lines 19-25. A person is chosen to handle a code calling card, the chosen person selects a code calling card, and reads out the question, col. 3, lines 28-29. The player attempts to arrange his/her word tiles to correspond to the answer to the question, col. 3, lines 28-54. If the player cannot arrange his/her word tiles to correctly answer the question, the turn passes to the next player, col. 4, lines 4-6. After the player arranges his/her word tiles to correctly answer the question, the player replenishes his/her word tiles by drawing additional word tiles, col. 3, lines 56-58.
- 5. In Gilbert's game, determining the exact sequence of steps that must be performed to carry out game play, such as reading out the question on the calling card before the word tiles are drawn by the players, or vice versa, does not affect game play. Thus, the claimed "acts performed according to the following sequence:" is not critical and therefore unpatentable. It would have been

obvious in Gilbert's game to read the question on the calling card before the word tiles are drawn by the players.

6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gilbert as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Evans.

The Applicant is referred to the teaching of Evans above.

Response to Arguments

- 7. In response to applicant's argument that the examiner has combined an excessive number of references, reliance on a large number of references in a rejection does not, without more, weigh against the obviousness of the claimed invention. See *In re Gorman*, 933 F.2d 982, 18 USPQ2d 1885 (Fed. Cir. 1991).
- 8. In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See *In re McLaughlin*, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).
- 9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin H. Layno whose telephone number is (571) 272-4424. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 1st Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eugene Kim can be reached on (571)272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Benjamin H. Layno Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711

bhl